

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/823,348 04/12/2004		004	Syed Omar Syed Mahmood	TI-36076	4734		
23494	7590	06/22/2006		EXAMINER			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ISTRUMENTS	DAVIS, ROBERT B					
	55474, M/S 3999 TX 75265	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
Dribbino,	77200		1722				
				DATE MAILED: 06/22/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	1	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/823,348		MAHMOOD, SYED OMAR SYED				
			Examiner	7	Art Unit				
			Robert B. Davis		1722				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communical or Reply	tion appe	ars on the cover sheet	with the co	rrespondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DAT 7 CFR 1.136 cation. rry period will by statute, c	TE OF THIS COMMUN (a). In no event, however, may apply and will expire SIX (6) Meause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely ONTHS from the ABANDONED	y filed e mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on .							
			oction is non-final.						
3)	,—								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some ★ c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of	the certified copies no	ot received.					
Attachment			🗀 .						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948)	4) 🔲 Interview Paper No	v Summary (P' o(s)/Mail Date:					
3) Infom	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC		5) D Notice of	f Informal Pate	ent Application (PTO	-152)			
Paper	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)								

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 6-9 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takahashi (Japanese publication 02-143816 A: figures 1-3 and the English abstracts).

Takahashi teaches a mold for forming a molded part, comprising: a base plate (10), a pot (14) formed in the base plate for receiving a mold compound, a plurality of mold cavities (18) adapted to receive a microelectronic device, a channel system (15, 17) formed in the base plate and coupled to the pot and the plurality of mold cavities, and a dummy runner (20) connected to the channel system between pot (14) and the plurality of mold cavities (18) as illustrated in figure 1. The reference also teaches a vent (21) for venting the dummy cavity.

3. Claims 16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takahashi.

Takahashi teaches a method of forming a molded part, comprising: placing a molding compound (13) into a molding pot (14) of a mold, pushing a portion of the molding compound through at least one sprue channel (15, 17) coupled to the pot by a plunger (16), pushing a portion of the mold compound into a dummy runner channel

Art Unit: 1722

(20) coupled to the sprue channel runner (15), venting air expelled from the mold compound through a vent (21) coupled to the dummy runner channel, pushing a portion of the mold compound through the runner channel and into the plurality of mold cavities (18), and curing the mold compound to form a molded part.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3-5 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi taken together with Mitai (JP 01-124225 A: figures 1-3 and the English abstract).

Takahashi discloses all claimed features except for the express placement of a semiconductor device within the mold cavity. It is noted that the assignee is Hitachi Hokkai Semiconductor LTD.

Mitai discloses a mold having a plurality of mold cavities (3), a pot (1), runners (2, 13) for connecting the pot with the mold cavities and a plurality of dummy or blind runners (15, 16, 17) between the pot and the plurality of cavities to reduce voids in the molded articles. The reference also discloses molding of semiconductor devices and therefore inherently discloses semiconductor elements placed within the molding cavities for encapsulation.

Art Unit: 1722

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Takahashi by supplying semiconductor elements within the mold cavity as disclosed by Mitai for the purpose of forming packaged semiconductor elements.

6. Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi taken together with Mitai.

Takahashi discloses all claimed features except for the placement of a semiconductor element within the mold for encapsulation.

Mital discloses molding semiconductor elements wherein semiconductor elements are inherently placed within the molding cavities for encapsulation to protect the elements from humidity and dust.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the process of Takahashi by placing semiconductor elements within the molding cavities for the purpose of forming packaged semiconductor elements.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The remaining references illustrate the state of the art of runner systems in encapsulation molds.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert B. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-1129. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/823,348

Art Unit: 1722

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert B. Davis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1722 Page 5

6/19/04